

## Oxidising Behaviour of Molybdenum and Tungsten Hexafluorides

By ANN PRESCOTT, DAVID W. A. SHARP, and JOHN M. WINFIELD\*

(*Department of Chemistry, University of Glasgow, Glasgow G12 8QQ*)

**Summary** Silver metal reacts with  $\text{MoF}_6$  or  $\text{WF}_6$  in acetonitrile to give  $[\text{Ag}^{\text{II}}(\text{NCMe})_4][\text{Mo}^{\text{V}}\text{F}_6]_2$  or  $[\text{Ag}^{\text{I}}(\text{NCMe})_2][\text{W}^{\text{V}}\text{F}_6]$  respectively; the results clearly show the greater oxidising power of  $\text{MoF}_6$  as compared with  $\text{WF}_6$ .

It has been suggested previously<sup>1</sup> that molybdenum hexafluoride is a stronger oxidising agent than tungsten hexafluoride, but definitive evidence is lacking. Silver metal is oxidised by the hexafluorides in acetonitrile at room temperature to give soluble silver(II) hexafluoromolybdate(v) and silver(I) hexafluorotungstate(v) demonstrating unambiguously that  $\text{MoF}_6$  is the stronger oxidising agent. The difference in behaviour is consistent with recent esti-

mates of the hexafluorides' electron affinities.<sup>2</sup> The solvent properties of MeCN are crucial, for example in solvating  $\text{Ag}^+$  (ref. 3) and  $\text{Ag}^{2+}$ . The oxidation of metals by this method is quite general; for example thallium and lead react with  $\text{WF}_6$  in MeCN to give thallium(I) and lead(II) hexafluorotungstates(v) respectively.

The white, moisture-sensitive solids isolated from the Ag reactions have been characterised as  $[\text{Ag}^{\text{II}}(\text{NCMe})_4][\text{Mo}^{\text{V}}\text{F}_6]_2$  and  $[\text{Ag}^{\text{I}}(\text{NCMe})_2][\text{W}^{\text{V}}\text{F}_6]$  by elemental (C, H, F, and N) analysis, their i.r. spectra which show the presence of co-ordinated MeCN and bands assigned to  $\text{MF}_6^-$  anions by analogy with  $\text{AMF}_6$  (A = K or Cs, M = Mo or W) compounds,<sup>4</sup> and the room temperature e.s.r. spectrum of the  $\text{Ag}^{\text{II}}$  compound,  $g = 2.3300$ . Co-ordinated MeCN is

readily replaced by pyridine, but its attempted removal by prolonged pumping leads to decomposition.

TABLE

Totally symmetric M-F stretching frequencies ( $\text{cm}^{-1}$ )

MoF <sub>6</sub> in MeCN	..	..	..	740
CsMoF <sub>6</sub> (s)	..	..	..	676
[Ag <sup>II</sup> (NCMe) <sub>4</sub> ][Mo <sup>V</sup> F <sub>6</sub> ] <sub>2</sub> (s)	..	..	..	676
MoF <sub>6</sub> <sup>-</sup> in MeCN <sup>a</sup>	..	..	..	676
WF <sub>6</sub> in MeCN <sup>b</sup>	..	..	..	773
CsWF <sub>6</sub> (s)	..	..	..	695
[Ag <sup>I</sup> (NCMe) <sub>2</sub> ][W <sup>V</sup> F <sub>6</sub> ] (s)	..	..	..	695
WF <sub>6</sub> <sup>-</sup> in MeCN <sup>c</sup>	..	..	..	770 <sup>d</sup> , 705, 695

<sup>a</sup> Ag<sup>II</sup> and Cs compounds. <sup>b</sup> H. J. Clase, A. M. Noble, and J. M. Winfield, *Spectrochim. Acta*, 1969, **25A**, 293. <sup>c</sup> Ag<sup>I</sup> and Cs compounds. <sup>d</sup> Not always observed.

Bands in the Raman spectra of MF<sub>6</sub> and MF<sub>6</sub><sup>-</sup> compounds assigned to totally symmetric M-F stretching modes on the

basis of solution polarisation measurements are given in the Table. Solutions containing the WF<sub>6</sub><sup>-</sup> anion contain two completely polarised bands, and a third band at 770  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  which is assigned to WF<sub>6</sub> is often observed in freshly prepared solutions. Tungsten pentafluoride disproportionates readily to give the tetra- and hexa-fluorides,<sup>5</sup> and a similar disproportionation has been noted for quinolin-8-ol derivatives of W<sup>V</sup> in basic solution.<sup>6</sup> It is possible that the additional band near 700  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  is due to formation of WF<sub>6</sub><sup>2-</sup> by disproportionation.

We thank Miss A. McFarlane and Dr. A. L. Porte for recording the Raman and e.s.r. spectra, and the S.R.C. for a grant towards the purchase of a Raman spectrometer. A.P. acknowledges the receipt of a Turner and Newall Fellowship.

(Received, 1st June 1973; Com. 789.)

<sup>1</sup> J. R. Geichmann, E. A. Smith, S. S. Trond, and P. R. Ogle, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1962, **1**, 661; N. Bartlett, *Angew. Chem. Internat. Edn.*, 1968, **7**, 433; T. A. O'Donnell and D. F. Stewart, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1966, **5**, 1434.

<sup>2</sup> J. Burgess, I. Haigh, and R. D. Peacock, *Chem. Comm.*, 1971, 977; J. Burgess and R. D. Peacock, personal communication.

<sup>3</sup> V. A. Pleskov, *Zhur. fiz. Khim.*, 1948, **22**, 351.

<sup>4</sup> R. D. Peacock and D. W. A. Sharp, *J. Chem. Soc.*, 1959, 2762; D. R. Russell, Ph.D. Thesis, University of Glasgow, 1963.

<sup>5</sup> J. Schröder and F. J. Grewe, *Chem. Ber.*, 1970, **103**, 1536.

<sup>6</sup> R. D. Archer, W. D. Bonds, jun., and R. A. Pribush, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1972, **11**, 1550.